

**KAURI NATIONAL PARK INVESTIGATION
SUMMARY AND RESPONSE TO WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS**

SUBMITTER NAME (IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER)	Relevant page and paragraph in Report	Summary	Department Response
AUCKLAND UNIVERSITY TRAMPING CLUB BY EMAIL – 17 JULY 2011	Pp82-83 Overview of Submissions	Against current national park proposal.	Opposition to national park proposal noted
	Pg 84 Funding for pest control and conservation management	Concerns about establishment costs of national park for the Department.	Acknowledged - A number of the areas in the proposed park are already identified as priority areas for DOC, including icon visitor destinations (Waipoua Forest) and high priority ecosystems (Trounson, Waipoua and Maitahi). This high priority status will ensure that these areas are managed to protect key values, and that visitor facilities, such as car parks, toilets and walking tracks, are maintained to a high standard.
	pg 86 Wider boundaries for proposed Kauri National Park	Concerns about establishment of park prior to Treaty settlements,	Acknowledged - General Policy for National Park allows for additional land to be added at a later date following Treaty settlements and Te Roroa have consistently put forward that they support, through due process, the opportunity for further parcels of land to be added to the park as advances with other Treaty settlements are completed.
	Pp35 Criteria 6 (b) size of national park	Concerns about small size of park	Disagree - Criteria 6 (b) lands identified meet criteria for size
BAIGENT-MERCER, DEAN BY EMAIL – 16 JULY 2011	Pp82-83 Overview of Submissions	Supportive of national park proposal.	Support for national park noted.
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major themes that lie outside the scope of the investigation – cogovernance, inclusion of private lands in national parks	Would like to see update of National Park Act legislation (co-governance) and also inclusion of private lands in national park	Support noted, but co-governance and inclusion of private lands issues beyond scope of investigation.
BIRCH, TREVOR BY EMAIL – 18 JULY 2011	Pp82-83 Overview of Submissions	Supports lands identified for national park proposal.	Support for national park noted.
	Page 66 - Criteria 6(i)(i) Avoiding adverse effects, also pg 85-86 Wāhi tapu/wāhi taonga	Concerns about protection of Māori cultural and historical values.	Acknowledged - Department currently working with Te Roroa (outside of current investigation process) to address protection of cultural and historic values in Waipoua

			Forest.
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major Themes that are outside the scope of the investigation – Co-governance	Supportive of co-governance between Crown and iwi and suggests possible formation of a private iwi/DOC/community Kauri National Park Trust to obtain funding for park.	Support noted, but co-governance and management of national park outside scope of investigation.
	Pp 47, 77, 85, 86 Rakau Rangatira project	Notes potential for increased visitors with national park status, and notes importance of Rakau Rangatira project being completed so as to manage environmental and infrastructure impacts from park. Concerns about social impact of park.	Agree - Rakau Rangatira a key project to mitigate environmental and infrastructure issues.
BLACK SHEEP TOURING COMPANY BY EMAIL - 11 JULY 2011	Pp82-83 Overview of Submissions	Supportive of national park as flora and fauna meet criteria.	Support for national park noted.
	Pp47, 77 Rakau Rangatira project – upgrade of visitor infrastructure	Concern that potentially greater number of visitors should be managed	Agree - Rakau Rangatira a key project to mitigate and improve visitor flows and infrastructure issues.
CLARKE, TAUKE BY EMAIL 13 JULY 2011	Pp82-83 –Overview of Submissions	Against national park proposal	Opposition to national park proposal noted
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major Themes that are outside the scope of the investigation – Co-governance	No to a national park without co-governance with Te Roroa	Support noted, but co-governance and management of national park outside scope of investigation
CONTAG, KLAUS, DR BY EMAIL 26 MAY 2011	Pp82-83 Overview of Submissions	Supportive of national park proposal	Support for national park noted
	Page 66 - Criteria 6(i)(i) Avoiding adverse effects – protection of archaeological, cultural, historic values see also Pg 85-86 Wāhi tapu/wāhi taonga	Wants to see more walkways, and establishment of public access to archaeological sites within Waipoua Forest.	Acknowledged - Management of archaeological, cultural and historic values will be a key management priority in any national park management plan. This will need to be undertaken in close consultation with Te Roroa who have raised with the Department their concerns about this issue.
COWAN, A.B (M.B.E. J.P retired) BY MAIL,	Pp82-83 Overview of Submissions, see also pg 84, 6.3.1. Natural, Historic and cultural values and scenic quality	Supportive of national park proposal because of ecological values	Support for national park proposal noted
COWAN, ROSE BY EMAIL, 9 JULY 2011	Pp82-83 Overview of Submissions	Not supportive of national park proposal at this stage	Opposition to national park proposal noted

	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major themes that lie outside the scope of the investigation –inclusion of private lands in national parks	Wants to see inclusion of private lands and QEII lands in proposal	Acknowledged but Inclusion of private lands issues beyond scope of investigation.
	Pp47, 77 Rakau Rangatira project – upgrade of visitor infrastructure, interpretation	Wants to see improved visitor facilities and interpretation, including tangata whenua guides, visitor safety	Agree - Rakau Rangatira a key project to mitigate and improve visitor experiences and infrastructure issues.
DAWN, JOHN BY EMAIL 18 JULY 2011	Pp82-83 Overview of Submissions	Supportive of national park proposal	Support for national park noted
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major Themes that are outside the scope of the investigation – Wider Boundaries	Wants to see other conservation areas in Northland to be added to the Kauri National Park in due course as other Treaty claims are settled	Acknowledged - Although outside scope of current investigation General Policy for National Park allows for additional land to be added at a later date following Treaty settlements.
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major Themes that are outside the scope of the investigation – Co-governance	Supports co-management by government, local iwi and other local stakeholder organisations as appropriate for the proposed national park	Support noted, but co-governance and management of national park outside scope of investigation.
DRAKE, RICHARD M.N.Z.M. BY MAIL	Pp82-83 Overview of Submissions	Supportive of national park proposal	Support for national park noted
	Pp 47, 77, 85, 86 Rakau Rangatira project	Wishes to see development of Trounson Kauri Park Scenic Reserve as a major interpretation site	Acknowledged - Rakau Rangatira project includes improvement of visitor experiences and interpretation at Trounson Kauri Park Scenic Reserve
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major Themes that are outside the scope of the investigation – Co-governance	Supportive of involvement of Tangata Whenua in the governance and management of the National Park.	Support noted, but co-governance and management of national park outside scope of investigation.
FAR NORTH DISTRICT COUNCIL KAIKOHE-HOKIANGA COMMUNITY BOARD BY EMAIL 18 JULY 2011	Pp82-83 Overview of Submissions	Supportive of national park proposal	Support for national park noted
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major Themes that are outside the scope of the investigation – Co-governance	Would like to see the ongoing management of the National Park become a joint venture between Department of Conservation and local iwi Te Roroa.	Support noted, but co-governance and management of national park outside scope of investigation.
FAR NORTH DISTRICT COUNCIL'S MĀORI REFERENCE GROUP BY EMAIL 8 AUGUST 2011	Pp82-83 Overview of Submissions	Supportive of national park proposal provided the following issues listed below addressed:	Conditional support noted
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major Themes that are outside the scope of the investigation – Co-governance	Changes to legislation to enable Te Roroa a co-governance role in National Park	Support noted, but co-governance and management of national park outside scope of investigation.

	Pg 85 Tourism and Economic Benefits – need for detailed cost-benefit analysis, see also pg 39 – Social, Cultural, Economic, Recreational assessment	Wants to see detailed cost-benefit analysis undertaken on proposal	Concern regarding need for detailed cost-benefit analysis noted
	Page 66 - Criteria 6(i)(i) Avoiding adverse effects – protection of archaeological, cultural, historic values, see also Pg 85-86 Wāhi tapu/wāhi taonga	Protection of Sites of Cultural Significance to Te Roroa	Acknowledged - Management of archaeological, cultural and historic values will be a key management priority in any national park management plan. This will need to be undertaken in close consultation with Te Roroa who have raised with the Department their concerns about this issue.
FEDERATED MOUNTAIN CLUBS OF NEW ZEALAND INC BY MAIL, 15 JULY 2011	Pp82-83 Overview of Submissions	Supportive in principle of national park proposal	Conditional support noted
	Pg 86, 6.3.2 – Funding	Concerns about whether the Department will be given necessary resources to establish and maintain a national park, and for the provision of recreation opportunities and biodiversity protection, especially as staff numbers are being reduced in Department	Acknowledged - A number of the areas in the proposed park are already identified as priority areas for DOC, including icon visitor destinations (Waipoua Forest) and high priority ecosystems (Trounson, Waipoua and Maitahi). This high priority status will ensure that these areas are managed to protect key values, and that visitor facilities, such as car parks, toilets and walking tracks, are maintained to a high standard.
	See also Pp 47, 77, 85, 86 Rakau Rangatira project		Rakau Rangatira project includes improvement of visitor experiences and interpretation and is aligned closely with PTA work
FOOTPRINTS WAIPOUA (COPTHORNE HOTEL AND RESORT HOKIANGA/KUPE HOKIANGA NUMBER ONE LIMITED)BY EMAIL 15 JULY 2011	Pp82-83 Overview of submissions	Supportive of national park proposal	Support for national park noted
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major Themes that are outside the scope of the investigation – Co-governance	Supportive of involvement of Te Roroa and other sectors of the community in the governance and management of the National Park.	Support noted, but co-governance and management of national park outside scope of investigation.
	Pp 47, 77, 85, 86 Rakau Rangatira projec	Recommends joint venture and user pays approach with businesses to development of visitor infrastructure	Acknowledged - Rakau Rangatira project is a collaborative agency/iwi/community/ business approach to improvement of visitor experiences and visitor infrastructure
HICKS, MARGARET BY MAIL 7 JULY 2011	Pp82-83 Overview of submissions	Against national park proposal until resolution of issues below:	Opposition to national park proposal noted
	Pg 86, 6.3.2 – Funding for Pest Control	Adequate funding available and effective disease control in place	Acknowledged - A number of the areas in the proposed park are already identified as priority areas for DOC, including icon visitor destinations (Waipoua Forest) and

			high priority ecosystems (Trounson, Waipoua and Maitahi). This high priority status will ensure that these areas are managed to protect key values.
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major Themes that are outside the scope of the investigation – Co-governance	Joint management strategy with Māori implemented	Support noted, but co-governance and management of national park with Māori outside scope of investigation.
HOKIANGA TOURISM ASSOCIATION BY EMAIL 13 JULY, 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Supportive of national park proposal	Support for national park noted
	Pg 84, 6.3.1 Tourism and Economic Benefits, see also pg 39, 4.3 – Social, Cultural, Economic, Recreational assessment	Can see the potential benefits of improved economy, jobs and employment.	Potential economic benefits of national park noted
	Pg 14, 2.5 Naming of National Park	Wishes to see Waipoua as the name of the proposed national park	Acknowledged - Public notification of name for national park necessary
HONNOR, LEIGH BY EMAIL, 17 JUNE 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Supportive of national park proposal	Support for national park noted
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major Themes that are outside the scope of the investigation – Wider Boundaries	Wants to see Waima/Mataraua Forest Continuum added to national park proposal.	Acknowledged - Although outside scope of current investigation General Policy for National Park allows for additional land to be added at a later date following Treaty settlements.
	Pg 86, 6.3.2 – Funding for Pest Control	Concerns about adequate funding for national park	Acknowledged - A number of the areas in the proposed park are already identified as priority areas for DOC, including icon visitor destinations (Waipoua Forest) and high priority ecosystems (Trounson, Waipoua and Maitahi). This high priority status will ensure that these areas are managed to protect key values. If additional funding was allocated as part of establishing the park, this would enhance existing work programmes and help make the new park a national conservation showcase close to Auckland.
JAMIESON, ALASTAIR BY EMAIL, 15 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Conditional support for national park proposal provided:	Conditional support noted
	Pg 86, 6.3.2 – Funding for Pest Control	Better funding and protection for ecological values of lands	Acknowledged - A number of the areas in the proposed park are already identified as priority areas for DOC, including icon visitor destinations (Waipoua Forest) and high priority ecosystems (Trounson, Waipoua and Maitahi). This high priority status will ensure that these areas are managed to protect key values.

			If additional funding was allocated as part of establishing the park, this would enhance existing work programmes and help make the new park a national conservation showcase close to Auckland.
	Page 66 - Criteria 6(i)(i) Avoiding adverse effects, also pg 85-86 Wāhi tapu/wāhi taonga	Better funding and protection for cultural heritage	Acknowledged - Department currently working with Te Roroa (outside of current investigation process) to address protection of cultural and historic values in Waipoua Forest.
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major Themes that are outside the scope of the investigation – Wider Boundaries	Current proposal too small - wants to see inclusion of a greater number of kauri ecosystems in park proposal, like the previous 1992 investigation	Disagree in part - Size of park meets criteria - General Policy for National Park also allows for additional land to be added at a later date following Treaty settlements.
	Appendix A - Considerations for future inclusions in the proposed national park, and unformed legal roads	Wants to see better representation of coastal ecosystem in national park proposal specifically inclusion of Waimamaku Domain Recreation Reserve	Acknowledged - The addition of Waimamaku Domain Recreation Reserve, which is vested in the Far North District Council, to the proposal would need to be carried out in consultation with Te Roroa, and would require further discussion with the Far North District Council and the local community.
KAIPARA DISTRICT COUNCIL BY EMAIL, 22 JUNE 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Supportive of national park proposal	Support for national park noted
	Pp 44, 77, 85 – Rakau Rangatira	Concerns regarding 'double edged sword' that increased visitor numbers would bring, with pressure on visitor infrastructure and roading facilities, but positive economic benefits. Specific reference to the length of unsealed road between Trounson Kauri Park Scenic Reserve and Katui, and the necessity to upgrade Maitahi Road as well as the Trounson Park/Donnelly's Crossing/SH12 connection.	Acknowledged - Rakau Rangatira a key collaborative project with iwi/agencies/communities to mitigate and improve visitor flows and infrastructure issues.
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major themes that lie outside the scope of the investigation – cogovernance, inclusion of private lands in national parks	The Kaipara District Council fully supports Te Roroa being an equal partner in a co-governance role.	Support noted, but co-governance and management of national park outside scope of investigation.
KAURI COAST FOUR WHEEL DRIVE CLUB - DARGAVILLE BY HAND, 14 JUNE 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Supportive of national park proposal	Support for national park noted
	Pp 44, 77, 85 – Rakau Rangatira	Will attract more tourists, but will also mean associated visitor	Acknowledged - Rakau Rangatira a key collaborative project with

		infrastructure costs	iwi/agencies/communities to mitigate and improve visitor flows and infrastructure issues.
KAURI MUSEUM – MATAKOHE BY EMAIL, 18 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Supportive of national park proposal	Support for national park noted
	Pg 84, 6.3.1 Tourism and Economic Benefits, see also pg 39, 4.3 – Social, Cultural, Economic, Recreational assessment	Positive economic benefits	Potential economic benefits of national park noted
	Page 36 Criteria 6(c)(ii): Features that have no equivalent in a national park, see also page 84, 6.3.1 Natural, historic, cultural values and scenic quality	Would add to representativeness of New Zealand’s national park network	Potential to add to representativeness of New Zealand’s national park network noted
MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY AND FISHERIES (NOW MINISTRY FOR PRIMARY INDUSTRIES) BY EMAIL, 21 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Neutral with regards to national park proposal	Neutral position noted
	Pp76 Kauri Dieback, pg 77 Rakau Rangatira, and pg 86 Kauri Dieback Disease/PTA	Concerns raised vis a vis PTA about potential for an increased risk posed by increased visitor numbers to the National Park and therefore the importance of managing the risk.	Acknowledged - A key focus of the Rakau Rangatira project is to ensure that the upgrade of visitor facilities (boardwalks, new track layout) improves protection of the iconic kauri trees. This work is integrated closely with the Kauri Dieback Management Team.
	Pg 21 Non-commercial gathering of freshwater fish and eels.	Ministry of Fisheries (MFish) cautioned that the national park proposal should not erode any fisheries rights accorded to Te Roroa via the Fisheries Deed of Settlement.	Acknowledged - Te Roroa whānau who wish to undertake non-commercial gathering of freshwater fish and eels to feed whānau are able to apply for a permit under the National Parks Act
MINISTRY OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT BY EMAIL, 22 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Not supportive of national park proposal in current form	Opposition to national park proposal noted
	Pg 85 Tourism and Economic Benefits – need for detailed cost-benefit analysis, see also pg 39 – Social, Cultural, Economic, Recreational assessment	Would prefer to see a detailed cost benefit analysis of the proposal setting out the economic impacts (in addition to the social and environmental impacts as set out in the proposal) of a range of options e.g. status quo, creation of a new national park, alignment of land protection status etc.	Concern regarding need for detailed cost-benefit analysis noted
MINISTRY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT BY HAND, 12 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	No comment	No comment noted
MOMOTA, HELEN BY EMAIL, 18 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Supportive of national park proposal, because of added protection, research and funding which will be directed towards the site	Support for national park noted

MONRO, PAT BY MAIL, 20 JUNE 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Supportive of national park proposal	Support for national park noted
	Pg 86, 6.3.2 – Funding for Pest Control	Wants to see increased funding for predator control and management of Kauri Dieback.	Acknowledged - A number of the areas in the proposed park are already identified as priority areas for DOC, including icon visitor destinations (Waipoua Forest) and high priority ecosystems (Trounson, Waipoua and Maitahi). This high priority status will ensure that these areas are managed to protect key values. If additional funding was allocated as part of establishing the park, this would enhance existing work programmes and help make the new park a national conservation showcase close to Auckland
NELSONS' KAIHU KAURI BY EMAIL, 19 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Not supportive of national park proposal as forests will be “locked up forever”	Opposition to national park proposal noted
	Pp 44, 77, 85 – Rakau Rangatira	Concerns about visitor infrastructure	Acknowledged - Rakau Rangatira a key collaborative project with iwi/agencies/communities to mitigate and improve visitor flows and infrastructure issues.
	Pg 76, pg 86 – Kauri Dieback disease/PTA	Concerns about Kauri Dieback - wishes to fell dead kauri and funds from this felling be invested back in conservation.	Acknowledged - The Department is working closely with the Kauri Dieback Management Team, although the dead kauri will not be felled
NEW ZEALAND DEERSTALKERS ASSOCIATION INCORPORATED BY EMAIL, 18 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Not supportive of national park proposal	Opposition to national park proposal noted
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major themes that lie outside the scope of the investigation – cogovernance,	Opposed to the idea of co-governance of the national park due to concerns that co-governance with DOC should not be ‘...played out for the first crucial time ... in the development of a national park’; and also because of concerns about Te Roroa’s ability to manage and govern the Waipoua Forest.	Opposition to co-governance noted but Co-governance and management of national park outside scope of investigation
	Pp25 Criteria 6 (a-c) of General Policy for National Parks, pp64-65 criteria 6 (i) (iv)	Investigation area does not fulfill any of the land size, contiguity and integrity matters set out in S6 of the general policy on national parks, in	Disagree - the lands included in the Kauri National Park Proposal are assessed as meeting the criteria for inclusion in a national park

		particular especially 6(b), 6(c)(i) and 6(l)(IV).	in accordance with the General Policy for National Parks 2005 and the National Park Act 2008. Specifically: Criteria 6 (b) the lands in the investigation area meet the criteria for size Criteria 6 (c)(i) the lands in the investigation area are capable of regeneration Criteria 6 (i) (iv) the lands in the investigation area contain natural features, including the pristine Waipoua and Wairau River systems and iconic giant kauri.
	also pg 39 – Social, Cultural, Economic, Recreational assessment, see also page 85 Visitor impacts Pp 44, 77, 85 – Rakau Rangatira	Concerns about negative impacts of increased tourism	Acknowledged - A cohesive and integrated management plan, which documents strategies for a collective approach to managing the proposed national park, would enable Te Roroa, the wider community, DOC and all other relevant local, regional and national agencies to work together proactively and effectively to maximise opportunities, allow all affected parties to benefit, and address any implications arising. Rakau Rangatira is also a key collaborative project with iwi/agencies/communities to mitigate and improve visitor flows and infrastructure issues.
	Pg 21 - section 4.3(d) of the General Policy on National Parks specifying the eradication of pest species.	Objects to the notion that under national park status pests (pigs) should be subject to eradication as they are the only significant hunting resource	Disagree - Hunting introduced pigs and goats for food is in accordance with DOC's pest management strategies, and will not be affected by national park status. Te Roroa are aware of, and agree with, section 4.3(d) of the General Policy on National Parks specifying the eradication of pest species.
NEW ZEALAND HISTORIC PLACES TRUST BY EMAIL, 15 JULY 2011	Pp82-83 Overview of Submissions	Supportive of national park proposal.	Support for national park noted.
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major themes that lie outside the scope of the investigation – cogovernance	Sees co-governance with Te Roroa as "desirable"	Support noted, but co-governance beyond scope of investigation.
	Pg 86 Wāhi Tapu, Wāhi Taonga	Notes unique historic and cultural qualities of investigation area meet the criteria for the establishment of a new national park	Acknowledged - As part of the Rakau Rangatira project, DOC is working closely with Te Roroa to identify appropriate cultural

			and historical heritage that is available for public interpretation around the main stands of kauri. Further work will be undertaken with both Te Roroa and the NZHPT to find appropriate ways to protect and enhance cultural, archaeological and historical heritage within the proposal.
NEW ZEALAND INSTITUTE OF FORESTRY BY EMAIL 18 JULY 2011	Pp82-83 Overview of Submissions	Supportive of national park proposal.	Support for national park noted.
	Pg 45 Concessions	Wishes to have continued access to lands in investigation area for the acquisition of breeding material (including seeds, genetic material and vegetative material) from kauri and other indigenous species in the park; and for purposes of harvesting and restocking of harvested stands.	Acknowledged - Policy 11 of the General Policy for National Parks – Concessions and permits, including for scientific research will continue to be allowed should the proposed Kauri National Park proceed, provided conservation values are protected. Te Roroa Manawhenua Trust and DOC (in accordance with the Deed of Settlement, Settlement Act and the Te Tarehu protocol) currently work together to assess concession applications; national park status will not change this. There is an anticipated increase in applications for concessions should the proposed Kauri National Park advance.
NEW ZEALAND NATIVE FORESTS RESTORATION TRUST (ADJACENT LANDOWNER) BY EMAIL, 28 JULY 2011	Pp82-83 Overview of Submissions	Conditional support for Kauri National Park Proposal	Conditional support for Kauri National Park Proposal
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major themes that lie outside the scope of the investigation –inclusion of private lands in national park	Wishes to see multiple tenure lands included in national park to fulfil restoration and management needs	Inclusion of private lands beyond scope of investigation.
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major themes that lie outside the scope of the investigation – cogovernance	Co-governance including community is essential for national park	Support noted, but co-governance beyond scope of investigation.
	Pg 86, 6.3.2 – Funding	Adequate resources must be provided for restoration and management	Acknowledged - A number of the areas in the proposed park are already identified as priority areas for DOC, including icon visitor destinations (Waipoua Forest) and high priority ecosystems (Trounson, Waipoua and Maitahi). This high priority status will ensure that these areas are managed to protect key values, and that visitor facilities, such as car parks, toilets and walking tracks, are maintained to a high standard. If additional funding was allocated as part of establishing the park,

			this would enhance existing work programmes and help make the new park a national conservation showcase close to Auckland.
NGAKURU, WILL BY EMAIL,	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Not supportive of national park proposal	Opposition to national park proposal noted
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major themes that lie outside the scope of the investigation – cogovernance	Co-governance with Te Roroa essential for national park	Support noted, but co-governance beyond scope of investigation.
NORTHLAND CONSERVATION BOARD	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Support in principle for national park proposal	Support in principle for national park proposal
	Pg 86, 6.3.2 – Funding	Concerns that sufficient resources are provided to the Department to manage a National Park.	Acknowledged - A number of the areas in the proposed park are already identified as priority areas for DOC, including icon visitor destinations (Waipoua Forest) and high priority ecosystems (Trounson, Waipoua and Maitahi). This high priority status will ensure that these areas are managed to protect key values, and that visitor facilities, such as car parks, toilets and walking tracks, are maintained to a high standard. If additional funding was allocated as part of establishing the park, this would enhance existing work programmes and help make the new park a national conservation showcase close to Auckland.
	Pp 47, 77, 85, 86 Rakau Rangatira project	Concerns at the impact on the conservation values of the proposed site from an increase and potential exploitation of the area from visitors.	Acknowledged - Rakau Rangatira project includes improvement of visitor experiences and infrastructure and mitigation of any negative impacts
NORTHLAND FISH AND GAME COUNCIL BY EMAIL, 8 AUGUST 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Support for national park proposal	Support for national park proposal noted
NORTHLAND REGIONAL COUNCIL BY EMAIL 18 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Support for national park proposal	Support for national park proposal noted
	Pg 84, 6.3.1 Tourism and Economic Benefits, see also pg 39, 4.3 – Social, Cultural, Economic, Recreational assessment	Can see the potential benefits of national park particularly tourism	Potential economic benefits of national park noted
	Page 63 criteria 6(j) Foreshore and the Coastal Marine Area – see maps also at page 59 (Wairau River) and page 60 (Ohae Stream)	Query as to whether any part of the Coastal Marine Area is included - In particular confirmation of whether or not the proposal includes that part of the Wairau or Ohae Rivers (or any other area) within the CMA.	Foreshore is specifically excluded from the investigation. The seaward boundary of the proposal in the Wairau River catchment lies upstream of the coastal marine area boundary. As land titles are defined by the river (where this is non-navigable),

			application of the <i>ad medium filum aquae</i> rule means the lower part of the river bed is now owned by Te Roroa as a result of the Settlement Act. If the bed of the Ohae Stream is included in the park, the boundary would coincide with the cross-river boundary (at about the ford).
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major themes that lie outside the scope of the investigation – cogovernance	Supportive of co-governance	Support noted, but co-governance beyond scope of investigation.
NEW ZEALAND TRANSPORT AGENCY BY EMAIL, 21 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Neutral with regards to national park proposal	Neutral position noted
	Pg 77 Chapter 5.4	NZTA looks forward to working collaboratively with the Department and others to put in place an appropriate transport system, and create the community participation and development goals that all seek for the area and region	The Department also looks forward to continuing to work closely with NZTA in particular on the Rakau Rangatira project to improve visitor experiences in and around Waipoua Forest. The project models a collaborative approach with other infrastructure and service providers, including the Far North and Kaipara District Councils, Northland Regional Council, Destination Northland, and the New Zealand Transport Agency.
PANCKHURST, DAVE BY EMAIL, 12 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Conditional support for national park proposal	Conditional support for national park proposal noted
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major themes that lie outside the scope of the investigation – cogovernance	Supportive of a DOC, iwi, community shared management model	Support noted, but co-governance and management of national park beyond scope of investigation
	Pg 35 Criteria 6(b): Size and fragmentation	Supports Waipoua Forest's inclusion in national park, and Trounson Kauri Park Scenic Reserve, but unsure of other outliers	The parcels of land are related by their relationship with the iconic kauri and their physical proximity. Integrating them into one national park protects the ecological integrity and biodiversity values of habitat that stretches from the coast to upland forests and provides important wildlife corridors.
ROYAL FOREST AND BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY (FOREST AND BIRD), NATIONAL OFFICE, AUCKLAND	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Opposed to national park proposal	Opposition noted
	Pp25-35 Discussion of criteria, pg 5 Conclusions	Pp1-2 Meets criterion 6 (a) (i) scenery, 6 (a) (ii) ecosystems, natural features	Agree – the report concludes that the tracts of land investigated meet these criteria.
	Pp 36-39 Discussion of criteria 6 (c)	Pp3 Unclear whether this national park proposal meets criteria for:	Disagree - Criteria 6(c)(i): Approximately forty percent of the investigation area has been modified, but is capable to some

		Criteria 6(c)(i): Modified areas capable of regeneration;	extent of regeneration, including the rare gumlands of the Maitahi Wetland Scientific Reserve, and Ohae and Kawerua in the western parts of the Waipoua Forest tract. Adjoining Waipoua Forest to the south, the Gorrie, Donnelly's Crossing and Marlborough Road Scenic Reserves are also regenerating native forest areas.
Pp 36-39 Discussion of criteria 6 (c), pg 71 4.10 Conclusions	Pp3 Unclear whether this national park proposal meets criteria for: Criteria 6(c)(ii): Features that have no equivalent in a national park		Disagree - The Waipoua Forest Tract contains the last largely unlogged kauri forests in the area, along with a complex mosaic of shrublands and forests, including kauri. Forest in Trounson Kauri Park Scenic Reserve is of high quality and Maitahi Wetland Scientific Reserve, an isolated relict wetland ecosystem, is ecologically valuable and historically interesting and contributes significantly to the overall proposal, providing a rare example of remnant gumland. The tracts of land investigated provide a unique series of ecological and landscape features that are not otherwise represented in any existing national park in New Zealand, particularly the majestic kauri.
Pg 35 Criteria 6(b): Size and fragmentation, see also pg 71, Chapter 4.10 Findings and Recommendations	Forest & Bird considers that as this national park proposal is less than 20% of that recommended by the NZCA in 1995, it is insufficient to meet criterion 6 (b) - size		Disagree - While it is small in relative terms to other national parks, it is perfectly formed, providing a perfect mix of outstanding ecological, historic and landscape features found only in Northland..
Pg 87 6.3.3 Major themes that lie outside the scope of the investigation –inclusion of private lands in national park Pg 87 – Alternatives to National Park status	Wants to see inclusion of lands in private tenure in national park or option of National Reserve		Inclusion of private lands or option of national reserve beyond scope of investigation.
pg 86 Wider boundaries for proposed Kauri National Park, pg 13 2.4 Rationale for Selection of lands	Wants to see expansion of a Kauri National Park as other Iwi settle their treaty claims.		General Policy for National Park also allows for additional land to be added at a later date following Treaty settlements and Te Roroa have consistently put forward that they support, through due process, the opportunity for further parcels of land to be added to the park as advances with other Treaty settlements

			are completed.
ROYAL FOREST AND BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY (FOREST AND BIRD), THAMES-HAURAKI BRANCH BY MAIL, 15 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Support for national park proposal	Support for national park proposal noted
	Pg 42 criteria 6 (e) economic implications	Notes ability to store carbon in national park as it will not be harvested	Agree - Commercial forestry operations are not possible because Waipoua Forest and the surrounding public conservation land are held for conservation purposes.
ROYAL FOREST AND BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY (FOREST AND BIRD), UPPER COROMANDEL BRANCH BY MAIL, 5 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Support for national park proposal	Support for national park proposal noted
	Pg 84, 6.3.1 Tourism and Economic Benefits, see also pg 39, 4.3 – Social, Cultural, Economic, Recreational assessment	National Park status will enhance the area for tourism	Potential economic benefits of national park status noted
	Pp 36-39 Discussion of criteria 6 (c), pg 71 4.10 Conclusions	National Park status will provide a Park with a completely different focus to the other National Parks within New Zealand.	Agree - The Waipoua Forest Tract contains the last largely unlogged kauri forests in the area, along with a complex mosaic of shrublands and forests, including kauri. Forest in Trounson Kauri Park Scenic Reserve is of high quality and Maitahi Wetland Scientific Reserve, an isolated relict wetland ecosystem, is ecologically valuable and historically interesting and contributes significantly to the overall proposal, providing a rare example of remnant gumland. The tracts of land investigated provide a unique series of ecological and landscape features that are not otherwise represented in any existing national park in New Zealand, particularly the majestic kauri
ROYAL FOREST AND BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY (FOREST AND BIRD), NORTHERN BRANCH, WHANGAREI BY EMAIL 16 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Against national park proposal	Opposition noted
	Pg 86, 6.3.2 – Funding	Concerns about whether the Department will be given necessary resources to establish and maintain a national park especially as staff numbers are being reduced in Department	Acknowledged - A number of the areas in the proposed park are already identified as priority areas for DOC, including icon visitor destinations (Waipoua Forest) and high priority ecosystems (Trounson, Waipoua and Maitahi). This high priority status will ensure that these areas are managed to protect key values, and that visitor facilities, such as car parks, toilets and walking tracks, are maintained to a high standard.
	Pp76 Kauri Dieback, pg 77 Rakau Rangatira, and pg 86 Kauri Dieback Disease/PTA	Concerns raised vis a vis PTA about potential for an increased risk posed by increased visitor numbers to the National Park and therefore the	Acknowledged - A key focus of the Rakau Rangatira project is to ensure that the upgrade of visitor facilities (boardwalks, new

		importance of managing the risk.	track layout) improves protection of the iconic kauri trees. This work is integrated closely with the Kauri Dieback Management Team.
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major themes that lie outside the scope of the investigation – cogovernance, WAI 262 report	Supports co-governance findings of WAI 262 report	Support noted, but co-governance and WAI 262 report beyond scope of this investigation
	Pg 51, 4.4.2 Joint Working Group process	Why was there no consultation with iwi prior to release of public discussion paper?	A Joint Working Group of Te Roroa and DOC worked together in accordance with the provisions of Te Tarehu protocol of the Settlement Act on this investigation, including the public discussion paper
RURU JACINTA BY EMAIL, 17 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Conditional support for national park proposal	Conditional support for national park proposal noted
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major themes that lie outside the scope of the investigation – cogovernance	Supports co-governance model for national park implemented	Support for co-governance noted but outside scope of investigation.
RUSSELL LAND CARE TRUST BY EMAIL, 17 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Support for national park proposal	Support for national park proposal noted
	pg 86 Wider boundaries for proposed Kauri National Park, pg 13	Wants to see other parcels of conservation land – Russell Forest etc included in a kauri national park	Acknowledged - General Policy for National Park also allows for additional land to be added at a later date following Treaty settlements and Te Roroa have consistently put forward that they support, through due process, the opportunity for further parcels of land to be added to the park as advances with other Treaty settlements are completed.
RUST, SEABOURNE AND YANAKOPOLOS, DIANE BY MAIL, 7 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Support for national park proposal	Support for national park proposal noted
	pg 86 Wider boundaries for proposed Kauri National Park	Wants to see other parcels of conservation land – Waima, Waoku Plateau	Acknowledged - General Policy for National Park also allows for additional land to be added at a later date following Treaty settlements and Te Roroa have consistently put forward that they support, through due process, the opportunity for further parcels of land to be added to the park as advances with other Treaty settlements are completed.

	Pp 47, 77, 85, 86 Rakau Rangatira project	Concerns about negative impacts of increased visitors	Acknowledged - Rakau Rangatira is a key project to mitigate increase in visitor numbers, management and infrastructure issues.
	Pg 84 Funding for pest control and conservation management	Concerns about adequate funding for national park	Acknowledged - A number of the areas in the proposed park are already identified as priority areas for DOC, including icon visitor destinations (Waipoua Forest) and high priority ecosystems (Trounson, Waipoua and Maitahi). This high priority status will ensure that these areas are managed to protect key values, and that visitor facilities, such as car parks, toilets and walking tracks, are maintained to a high standard.
SCOTT, GERAINT BY EMAIL, 14 JUNE 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Support for national park proposal	Support for national park proposal noted
SHEPHERD, PETER BY EMAIL, 19 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Support for national park proposal	Support for national park proposal noted
	pg 86 Wider boundaries for proposed Kauri National Park	Wants to see other parcels of conservation land in Northland included in Kauri National Park	Acknowledged - General Policy for National Park also allows for additional land to be added at a later date following Treaty settlements and Te Roroa have consistently put forward that they support, through due process, the opportunity for further parcels of land to be added to the park as advances with other Treaty settlements are completed.
STANILAND, JOHN BY EMAIL, 18 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Support for national park proposal	Support for national park proposal noted
	pg 86 Wider boundaries for proposed Kauri National Park	Wants to see other parcels of conservation land in Northland included in Kauri National Park – particularly Pukekaroro Scenic Reserve near Kaiwaka	Acknowledged - General Policy for National Park allows for additional land to be added at a later date following Treaty settlements and Te Roroa have consistently put forward that they support, through due process, the opportunity for further parcels of land to be added to the park as advances with other Treaty settlements are completed.
STRATERRA BY EMAIL, 22 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Support for national park proposal	Support for national park proposal noted

	Pg 84 Funding for pest control and conservation management	Notes higher priority for management by the Department, which is important because of the risks of pests and diseases	A number of the areas in the proposed park are already identified as priority areas for DOC, including icon visitor destinations (Waipoua Forest) and high priority ecosystems (Trounson, Waipoua and Maitahi). This high priority status will ensure that these areas are managed to protect key values. If additional funding was allocated as part of establishing the park, this would enhance existing work programmes and help make the new park a national conservation showcase close to Auckland.
	Pg 84, 6.3.1 Tourism and Economic Benefits, see also pg 39, 4.3 – Social, Cultural, Economic, Recreational assessment	Notes potential for more tourism with positive flow-on benefits to the Northland economy.	Potential economic benefits of national park noted
	Pp39, 43 Criteria 6 (e) economic implications	Notes these benefits can be achieved with no loss in terms of alternative resource-based economic opportunities	Agree
TE ROROA MANAWHENUA TRUST (TANGATA WHENUA AND ADJACENT LAND OWNER) BY HAND 18 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Against national park proposal	Opposition noted
	Pg 63 Criteria 6(j): Foreshore and the coastal marine area	Pg 3, para 1.2.5., Concern that under Section 11 of the National Parks Act, foreshore excluded from a national park investigation can be disposed of by the Crown.	Acknowledged - Foreshore was specifically excluded from investigation to allow Te Roroa to seek determination of customary title or customary rights in the marine and coastal area in accordance with the Marine and Coastal Area (Takutai Moana) Act. Section 11(1) of the NPA provides that no land in a national park can be excluded from that park except by special Act of Parliament. For foreshore to be excluded from a national park it would first have to be investigated and made national park, which is contrary to the current proposal.
	Pg 60-61, Criteria 6 (j) Rivers	Disagrees with page 33 of Kauri National Park Proposal Public Discussion Paper that the Waipoua riverbed downstream from State Highway 12 should become part of the proposed Kauri National Park as most of that riverbed was not sold to the Crown, but rather became the boundary between Crown land and Waipoua 2 Block, the Waipoua Native Reserve. Under customary law the unsold riverbed continues to	Acknowledged - The lower reaches of the Waipoua River run through Te Roroa lands to the west of the proposal, but between SH12 and Te Roroa's Te Taiawa covenant, the legal boundary of the Waipoua Forest is defined by the river. Te Roroa owns the land opposite and by application of the <i>ad medium filum aquae</i> rule, each owns the river to its midline. The most appropriate option for this boundary is to exclude the wet riverbed.

		belong to Te Roroa; under common law the presumption of ad medium filium, ownership to mid-stream applies.	
	Pg 14, 2.4.2. Outstanding Treaty of Waitangi Claims	All Treaty of Waitangi claims affecting the proposal have been satisfactorily settled.	Acknowledged - Despite careful selection of land to include in this proposal, two current Treaty claims relate to the investigation area.
	Page 2.4 – Rationale for Selection Page 66 - Criteria 6(i)(i) Avoiding adverse effects, also pg 85-86 Wāhi tapu/wāhi taonga	All discrete wāhi tapu sites and cultural sites of importance have been excluded from the proposal to the satisfaction of Te Roroa	The selection of lands to include in this investigation was made by a joint DOC and Te Roroa Manawhenua Trust working group, set up in 2009 as a requirement of section 59 of the Settlement Act. The Department is currently working with Te Roroa (outside of current investigation process) to address protection of cultural and historic values in Waipoua Forest and coastal area
	Page 2.4 – Rationale for Selection	The boundaries of the proposed Kauri National Park, or its replacement, are acceptable to Te Roroa.	The selection of lands to include in this investigation was made by a joint DOC and Te Roroa Manawhenua Trust working group, set up in 2009 as a requirement of section 59 of the Settlement Act. Any review of the boundaries of this national park proposal would also include a Joint Working Group process in accordance with 5.6.3 of Te Tarehu Protocol.
	Pg 85 Tourism and Economic Benefits – need for detailed cost-benefit analysis, see also pg 39 – Social, Cultural, Economic, Recreational assessment	A satisfactory costs/benefits analysis of the proposal, or its replacement, encompassing all socio-economic effects and which demonstrates benefits over costs has been obtained.	Concern regarding need for detailed cost-benefit analysis noted
	Pg 85 Tourism and Economic Benefits – need for detailed cost-benefit analysis, see also pg 39 – Social, Cultural, Economic, Recreational assessment	A satisfactory Environmental Impact Assessment of the proposal, or its replacement has been obtained.	Concern regarding need for detailed cost-benefit analysis noted
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major themes that lie outside the scope of the investigation – cogovernance,	Government has provided a commitment to Crown/Te Roroa co-governance in the proposal, or its replacement.	Te Roroa's view on co-governance and management of national park are acknowledged but outside the scope of this investigation
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major themes that lie outside the scope of the	Government has accepted the	Support noted, but consideration of WAI

	investigation – cogovernance, WAI 262 report	recommendations of the Waitangi Tribunal in the WAI 262 Report.	262 report beyond scope of this investigation
	Pp 68 Statutory Planning for Conservation	A review of the Northland Conservation Management Strategy has been completed.	Acknowledged but this investigation process is a statutory process under the National Parks Act. A review of the Northland CMS will not be completed until June 2014 in accordance with the statutory process outlined in the Conservation Act.
	Page 2.4 – Rationale for Selection	Page 4 - 6.2 THAT once the proposal, or its replacement is able to progress further an amended discussion paper be compiled under the joint authorship of Te Roroa and Department of Conservation.	The selection of lands to include in this investigation was made by a joint DOC and Te Roroa Manawhenua Trust working group, set up in 2009 as a requirement of section 59 of the Settlement Act. This Joint Working Group process can be reconvened as appropriate.
TE RUNANGA O NGATI HINE BY EMAIL, 19 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions Pg 87 6.3.3 Major themes that lie outside the scope of the investigation – cogovernance,	Against national park proposal without full approval of hapu and mana i te whenua, support for co-governance	Opposition to national park in current form noted. Co-governance and management of national park are acknowledged but outside the scope of this investigation
TE RUNANGA O TE RARAWA, BY HAND, 6 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions Pg 87 6.3.3 Major themes that lie outside the scope of the investigation – cogovernance,	Against national park proposal unless provision for co-governance	Opposition to national park in current form noted. Co-governance and management of national park are acknowledged but outside the scope of this investigation
TE URI O HAU BY EMAIL, 15 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions Pg 87 6.3.3 Major themes that lie outside the scope of the investigation – cogovernance,	Support Te Roroa in whatever decisions they make with regards to management for this proposal	Opposition to national park in current form noted. Co-governance and management of national park are acknowledged but outside the scope of this investigation
TOORENBURG, LOUIS BY EMAIL, 18 JULY 2011	pg 86 Wider boundaries for proposed Kauri National Park	Wants to see inclusion of Waima Forest in national park proposal	Acknowledged - General Policy for National Park allows for additional land to be added at a later date following Treaty settlements and Te Roroa have consistently put forward that they support, through due process, the opportunity for further parcels of land to be added to the park as advances with other Treaty settlements are completed.
WAIPOUA FOREST TRUST (ADJACENT LANDOWNER) BY EMAIL, 25 AUGUST 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Conditional support for national park proposal	Conditional support for national park proposal noted
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major themes that lie outside the scope of the	Page 21 Recommendation 1 - 3	Support for co-governance and

	investigation – cogovernance, inclusion of private lands in national parks, national reserve	Would like to see amendment to National Park Act legislation to allow for co-governance, including tripartite iwi-Waipoua Forest Trust/New Zealand Native Forest Trust-Department management model r	management noted, but co-governance, and management of national park outside scope of investigation
	Pg 87 6.3.3 Major themes that lie outside the scope of the investigation –inclusion of private lands in national parks, national reserve	Page 21 Recommendation 4 – 6, 15 Also wants inclusive tenure for national park – private lands, lands in other titles, national reserve option	Inclusion of private lands, or lands in other tenure, or establishment of a national reserve beyond scope of investigation.
	Pg 84 Funding for pest control and conservation management	Page 21 Recommendation 7-10 Wishes to see guaranteed funding for restoration and national park	Acknowledged - A number of the areas in the proposed park are already identified as priority areas for DOC, including icon visitor destinations (Waipoua Forest) and high priority ecosystems (Trounson, Waipoua and Maitahi). This high priority status will ensure that these areas are managed to protect key values , and that visitor facilities, such as car parks, toilets and walking tracks, are maintained to a high standard. If additional funding was allocated as part of establishing the park, this would enhance existing work programmes and help make the new park a national conservation showcase close to Auckland.
	Pp 47, 77, 85, 86 Rakau Rangatira project Page 66 - Criteria 6(i)(i) Avoiding adverse effects, also pg 85-86 Wāhi tapu/wāhi taonga	Pp9-11 Concerns about impact of increased recreation on sensitive sites in investigation area including wāhi tapu and ecologically sensitive areas	Noted - Rakau Rangatira a key project to mitigate environmental and infrastructure issues. Acknowledged - Department currently working with Te Roroa (outside of current investigation process) to address protection of cultural and historic values in Waipoua Forest.
WATKINS, TONY BY EMAIL, 16 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Support for national park proposal	Support for national park proposal noted
WEST COAST TE TAI POUTINI CONSERVATION BOARD BY EMAIL, 15 JULY 2011	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Support for national park proposal	Support for national park proposal noted
	Pg 66, 67 - Criteria 6(i)(v): Efficient management	Queried inclusion of Maitahi Wetland Scientific Reserve due to lack of public access and distance from main Waipoua Forest Tract	Further investigation confirmed that Maitahi Wetland Scientific Reserve has open access.

			The Maitahi Wetland Scientific Reserve is currently managed as an integral and integrated ecosystem with other public conservation lands in the proposal.
WINCH, MICHAEL	Pp 82-83 Overview of submissions	Conditional support for national park proposal	Conditional support for national park proposal noted
	pg 86 Wider boundaries for proposed Kauri National Park	Once Treaty claims are settled, wants to see inclusion of Puketi-Omahuta in national park proposal	Acknowledged - General Policy for National Park allows for additional land to be added at a later date following Treaty settlements and Te Roroa have consistently put forward that they support, through due process, the opportunity for further parcels of land to be added to the park as advances with other Treaty settlements are completed.
	Pg 84 Funding for pest control and conservation management	Wishes to see guaranteed funding for restoration and national park, particularly given Department funding cuts	Acknowledged - A number of the areas in the proposed park are already identified as priority areas for DOC, including icon visitor destinations (Waipoua Forest) and high priority ecosystems (Trounson, Waipoua and Maitahi). This high priority status will ensure that these areas are managed to protect key values , and that visitor facilities, such as car parks, toilets and walking tracks, are maintained to a high standard. If additional funding was allocated as part of establishing the park, this would enhance existing work programmes and help make the new park a national conservation showcase close to Auckland.

ERROR: undefined
OFFENDING COMMAND:

STACK: