

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

Date received:

Submitter ID:

**Submission Form (Form 6)****Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission/s on:**

Proposed Plan Change 1: Regional Coastal Plan: Kermadec and Subantarctic Islands

[Form 6](#) Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Regional Coastal Plan under Clause 8 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

**Return your signed submission by 12am 12 December 2025 via:**

**Email:** [planchange1@doc.govt.nz](mailto:planchange1@doc.govt.nz) with subject line:

Further submission - Proposed Plan Change 1 - Regional Coastal Plan: Kermadec and Subantarctic Islands

**Post:** Department of Conservation, Private Bag 3072, Hamilton 3240

Attention: Jesse Gooding, RSP Resource Management Team

All sections of this form need to be completed for your submission to be accepted. Your submission will be checked for completeness, and you may be contacted to fill in any missing information.

<b>Further Submitter Information (mandatory)</b>	
<b>Full Name:</b> Maree Baker-Galloway and Hannah Zydenbos	
<b>(HEL)Organisation:</b> Heritage Expeditions (2018) Limited <b>(HEL)</b>	
<b>Full Address:</b> Level 2, 13 Camp Street, Queenstown 9300, New Zealand	
<b>Telephone Number:</b> 03 450 0713	
<b>Email Address:</b> <a href="mailto:maree.baker-galloway@al.nz">maree.baker-galloway@al.nz</a>   <a href="mailto:hannah.zydenbos@al.nz">hannah.zydenbos@al.nz</a>	
I would like my address for service to be my email.	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Person of interest declaration (select appropriate)</b>	
I am a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest:	<b>No</b>
I am a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has:	<b>Yes</b>



Department of  
Conservation  
*Te Papa Atawhai*

Specify on what grounds you come within this category.

HEL owns and operates conservation-based expedition cruises that operate and are permitted to enter, the Subantarctic Islands.

Hearing Options	
Do you wish to be heard in support of your further submission?	Yes
Are you considering presenting a joint case with others presenting similar submissions?	Yes

**Signature of submitter** (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter. *Note: A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.*)

*Maere Barr-Galloway*

Date: 12 December 2025

**Note to person making the submission:**

**A further submission can only support or oppose an original submission listed in the summary. It is not an opportunity to make a fresh submission on matters not raised in the submissions.**

**A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the Department of Conservation (for the Minister of Conservation as the local authority). (RMA Schedule 1 clause [8A](#))**

Please note that your further submission (or part of your further submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the further submission (or part of the further submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- it contains offensive language
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

## Further Submission

<b>Submission Number (Please identify the specific parts of the original submission using the reference no. in the Summary of Submission by Provisions )</b>		<b>I support the submissions of:</b>
10.2 and 10.18		Barine Developments Limited
<b>The reasons for my/our submission are:</b>	<b>The particular parts of the submission I support are:</b>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed.</b>
<p>Heritage Expeditions supports the submissions of Barine Developments Limited. In opposing the deletion of Appendix 5 Heritage Expeditions is particularly concerned around:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The sole use of Appendix 4 and forms 1 &amp; 2 for vessel inspections.</li> <li>• The qualifications and experience of DOC in assessing whether vessels pass or fail.</li> <li>• The absence of timeframe within Forms 1 + 2 regarding when inspection forms must be submitted once entering areas covered by RCP.</li> <li>• The reliability of photo inspections instead of in person inspections.</li> </ul>	<p>Opposition to the removal/deletion of Appendix 5- Minister Approved Inspectors.</p> <p>Support use of MPI Biosecurity standards and clearance, for long-stay vessels in the Craft Risk Management Standard, for Vessels in 2023.</p>	<p>In part (Appendix 5 and MPI standards and clearance).</p>

<b>Submission Number (Please identify the specific parts of the original submission using the reference no. in the Summary of Submission by Provisions )</b>		<b>I support (or oppose) the submission of:</b>
6.26		New Zealand Sea Lion Trust
<b>The reasons for my/our submission are:</b>	<b>The particular parts of the submission I support (or oppose) are:</b>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed):</b>
Oppose deletion of Appendix 5, for the reasons set out for submission point 10.2 above.	HEL opposes the support of deletion of Appendix 5.	Disallow.

<b>Submission Number (Please identify the specific parts of the original submission using the reference no. in the Summary of Submission by Provisions )</b>		<b>I support (or oppose) the submission of:</b>
8.17		Seaeagle Fishing Limited (no.8)
<b>The reasons for my/our submission are:</b>	<b>The particular parts of the submission I support (or oppose) are:</b>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed):</b>
As above for 10.2. Support retaining Appendix 5 and approved inspectors.	As above.	Allow.

<b>Submission Number (Please identify the specific parts of the original submission using the reference no. in the Summary of Submission by Provisions )</b>		<b>I support (or oppose) the submission of:</b>
4.2		Ponant
<b>The reasons for my/our submission are:</b>	<b>The particular parts of the submission I support (or oppose) are:</b>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed):</b>
Oppose addition of Carnley Harbour, to proposed rule 47A, for the same reasons HEL opposed 47A, in primary submission.	As per primary submission re 47A.	Disallow whole part of submission.

<b>Submission Number (Please identify the specific parts of the original submission using the reference no. in the Summary of Submission by Provisions )</b>		<b>I support (or oppose) the submission of:</b>
All of the Submission #9		Te Ao Marama Inc.
<b>The reasons for my/our submission are:</b>	<b>The particular parts of the submission I support (or oppose) are:</b>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed):</b>
HEL supports the points made in the Te Ao Marama submission.	The submission in its entirety.	Whole submission be allowed.

<b>Submission Number (Please identify the specific parts of the original submission using the reference no. in the Summary of Submission by Provisions )</b>		<b>I support (or oppose) the submission of:</b>
All of the Submission #1		Rodney Russ
<b>The reasons for my/our submission are:</b>	<b>The particular parts of the submission I support (or oppose) are:</b>	<b>I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed):</b>
HEL supports the points made in the Rodney Russ submission.	The submission in its entirety.	Whole submission be allowed.