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# Goal: <br> to estimate proportion of cows that breed as a function of age 

## Definition of breeder

Cow that gives birth, including when the pup dies or is stillborn

## Identification of breeders

Codify behaviour comment field and use a criterion or fit a mixture of breeder and nonbreeder distributions to frequencies

## Main behaviour frequencies

| SEASON | BIRTH | CALLING | DEAD | NURSING | WITH <br> PUP | NOTHING |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2000 | 15 | 12 | 4 | 250 | 264 | 1132 |
| 2001 | 17 | 16 | 12 | 245 | 296 | 1276 |
| 2002 | 22 | 10 | 28 | 237 | 344 | 2121 |
| 2003 | 3 | 34 | 3 | 393 | 612 | 2186 |
| 2004 | 31 | 34 | 1 | 509 | 617 | 2510 |
| 2005 | 35 | 1 | 2 | 127 | 191 | 2063 |
| 2006 | 22 | 11 | - | 299 | 278 | 1974 |
| 2007 | 29 | 13 | - | 473 | 351 | 2129 |

# Use of behaviour comment field 

## Behaviour was codified into:

Birth observations: BIRTH, STILLBIRTH, DEADPUP

Breeder observations: NURSING, WITHPUP, CALLING

Nothing: NURSINGYEARLING, SUCKLINGFROMCOW, DEAD, NOTHING, PREGNANT

For each cow we know:
Season it was tagged
whether tagged or branded

# How do we distinguish exactly which cows pupped and which were alive but didn't? 

- There are a few definite breeders
- Most breeders could be identified if observed for long enough
- 1-3-year-olds are definite nonbreeders
- 37\% of observations are breeder observations
- Occasionally non-breeders produce breeder observations


## Probable breeder observations



## Two methods to estimating pupping rate

1. Specify a criterion that categorises each cow each season as a breeder or nonbreeder (e.g. a birth observation or at least 2 breeder observations)
2. Estimate probability density functions to explain observation frequencies that depend on whether a cow breeds. Estimate the proportion of breeders and non-breeders in the mixture

## Breeder observations proportions

## Observation frequencies (branded; age >= 4 years)



## Error caused by criterion method

Because the probability of getting a breeder observation each time a breeding cow is observed is only 0.37 , some breeders will not be identified
E.g. if a breeder is seen 4 times the probability of getting zero breeder observations is

$$
0.63^{4}=0.16
$$

> These observations will be indistinguishable from those of a non-breeder seen 4 times and the criterion method will wrongly identify it as a non-breeder

## Method 2 Scenario mixtures

## Example scenario out of 256:

2000 breed
2001 breed
2002 breed
2003 non-breed
2004 non-breed
2005 non-breed
2006 breed
2007 non-breed
Need to calculate the likelihood of the actual observations under each scenario, multiply it by the likelihood of that scenario and add them

# Method 2 Another scenario 

## Another scenario :

2000 breed
2001 non-breed
2002 breed
2003 non-breed
2004 breed
2005 non-breed
2006 breed
2007 non-breed
The likelihood of a scenario depends on age, branded/tagged and the sequence, i.e. this one is less likely than the previous because of the serial correlation

## Total observation frequencies



# Pupping rate conditional on last year 

Ratio breeders to total known alive (criterion-based)


## Died or not observed?

- Need to account for non-breeders that are alive but not sighted
- Can be done easily for individuals for the years before the last sighting
- If last sighting was before 2007 the cow may be dead or alive but not sighted
- We therefore estimate mortality parameters and treat the unseen cows as a mixture of dead, nonobserved non-breeders and a very few non-observed breeders


## Mortality and nonobservability mixture

## Cow tagged year $y_{t}$

Observations year $y$-1


## Pupping rate

Estimated breeding probability


## Survival and tag retention



## Estimated observation proportions

| Group | Total <br> obs = 0 | Breeder <br> obs = 0 | Breeder <br> obs = 1 | Breeder <br> obs $\geq$ 2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Percent | Percent of observed cows |  |  |
| Branded <br> breeders | 0 | 2.3 | 4.9 | 92.8 |
| Tagged <br> breeders | 1.8 | 10.3 | 15.1 | 74.6 |
| Branded <br> non- <br> breeders | 50.4 | 99.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Tagged <br> non- <br> breeders | 70.1 | 99.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 |

# Total observation distributions 



# Total observations <br> Total observations 

Total observations
Negative binomial model (zeroes censored)


## Breeder observation frequencies

## Breeder observation frequencies (>3 years)

Beta-binomial model


## Total observations as mixtures

## Observation frequencies



## Some parameter values

| Parameter | Est |
| :--- | ---: |
| Max pupping rate (average) | 0.61 |
| Max pupping rate (prev breeder) | 0.85 |
| Max pupping rate (prev non-breeder) | 0.26 |
| Age max pupping (y) | 13 |
| Prob of a breeder obs (breeder) | 0.37 |
| Prob of a breeder obs (non-breeder) | 0.001 |
| Mean total obs/season (breeder) | 11.7 |
| Mean total obs (branded breeder) | 22.6 |
| Mean total obs (3 y, non-breeder) | 2.1 |
| Mean total obs (20 y, non-breeder) | 1.2 |

## 

## More parameter values

| Parameter | Est |
| :--- | ---: |
| Pupping rate reaches half max (y) | 7 |
| Pupping rate falls to half max (y) | 17 |
| Max survival \& tag retention | 0.99 |
| Age at max survival (y) | 2 |
| Mean 1st year survival (excl 1987) | 0.54 |
| Survival at age 20 y | 0.55 |
| Max observability (2003) | 1.20 |
| Min observability (2000) | 0.49 |
| Neg-binom overdispersion (breeders) | 6.4 |
| Neg-binom overdispersion (non- <br> breeders) | 13.7 |

## Conclusions

- Necessary to estimate breeders with no breeder observations by using a mixture model ( $12 \%$ tagged breeders not identified)
- High breeding serial correlation (breeders 3 times as likely to breed following year)
- Maximum population pupping rate is $61 \%$ at age 13 y
- Possibly $20 \%$ of cows do not return to rookery each year (not modelled)
- First year survival varies a lot (3773\%)
- Observations over-dispersed (excessive zeros and ones)


## Conclusions

Estimated breeding probability


