

WARO REGIONAL FEEDBACK/CONSULTATION & LAND ASSESSMENT

REGION: HWT

DIRECTOR: [REDACTED]

WARO COORDINATOR: [REDACTED]

1. Treaty Partners
2. Conservation Board
3. Consultation with stakeholders & WARO industry

SECTION A: Treaty Settlement implications

If you have any questions about Treaty Settlement implications of an application, contact [REDACTED] Treaty Negotiations Team, and he will advise you who to talk to in the Treaty Negotiations Team.

- Is any site subject to the application due to be transferred to whānau, hapū, or iwi?
If no, go to question 4. If yes, identify the site.

Yes

Examples of some sites within the settlement area for Hauraki Iwi are included in the attachment ([DOC-5533158](#)). Probably also sites within the Maniapoto treaty settlement area.

- Has a Treaty settlement disclosure form been completed for the site? Were any existing encumbrances noted on that form?

Yes

- Who is leading the negotiations process for DOC in the Policy Negotiations Team?

Possibly [REDACTED] ([@doc.govt.nz](mailto:[REDACTED]@doc.govt.nz)) for Hauraki iwi. I do not know who is responsible for treaty settlement negotiations with Maniapoto.

- If your Treaty Partners have settlement legislation in place already, are there any specific post-settlement implementation obligations that relate to the site or proposed activity?

Co-management is a requirement for some public conservation land parcels resulting from treaty settlement acts with Waikato Tainui, Ngati Koroki Kahukura, Ngati Haua and others. Most, but not all, of these reserves have been excluded from the WARO lands.

SECTION B: Marine and Coastal (Takutai Moana) Area Act 2011

~~If you have any questions about the Marine and Coastal (Takutai Moana) Area Act or the consultation required by this Act, firstly check the Concessions Guidance Document, and secondly, contact [REDACTED] ([REDACTED]@doc.govt.nz).~~

- ~~• Is the location subject to any applications or approvals for customary marine title or protected marine rights under the Marine and Coastal (Takutai Moana) Act 2011? If yes, identify the Treaty Partners who have either applied for or had approved customary marine title or protected marine rights at the location.~~
- ~~• If yes, has the Applicant provided evidence of consultation with these Treaty Partners? *The Applicant has a requirement to consult with anyone who has an application under the Act that is additional to DOC's consultation with Treaty Partners. See the Concessions Guidance Document for more information.*~~

SECTION C: Whānau, hapū, and iwi consulted

Complete the Consultation Summary table – copy this table if more columns are required.

Consultation Summary

Consultation details, including links to documents used for consultation and responses is recorded in the HWT region communication plan ([DOC-5486520](#)).

SECTION D: Consultation with **Ngati Ruanui**

- Does this application activate any agreed triggers for consultation with Treaty Partners?

There are no agreed triggers

- Did the whānau, hapū, or iwi engage in consultation on this application? If not, ensure attempts to engage are detailed in Section C.

Yes

- What is the interest of the whānau, hapū, or iwi in the site or activity?

[DOC-5525484](#)

- What are their views on the activity (taking place at the specified site)?

[DOC-5525484](#)

- What sort of adverse effects do the whānau, hapū, or iwi believe the activity will have on their interests (at the specified site)?

[DOC-5525484](#)

- Have the whānau, hapū, or iwi identified any methods to avoid, remedy, or mitigate these effects?
 1. That the applicant provides Ngati Ruanui's written approval prior to the commencement of the activity.
 2. That the DoC includes "Consultation with iwi affected by the activity, and comments of iwi affected and response to their comments" with the Application Information Form 13 Wild Animal recovery Operations' checklist;
 3. That the DoC includes the words "*Impact on culturally significant and statutory acknowledged areas and taonga*" under section E – Actual or potential effects of the proposal of the Application Information Form 13 Wild Animal recovery Operations. This would ensure that effects on culturally significant and statutory acknowledged areas and taonga are provided. Please note that it is only the affected iwi who can identify cultural values, interests and taonga that would be affected by the proposal. It may be necessary that a Cultural Impact Assessment report (CIA) is required to be prepared by Ngati Ruanui depending on the scale of the activity and areas affected. The CIA bears a cost to be paid by the applicant. It would be efficient for the applicant to contact Ngati Ruanui as early as possible to confirm if a CIA is required and associated cost and timeframes;
 4. That the DoC includes the words "*Culturally Significant and Statutory Acknowledged Areas and taonga*" with section 4.1 (a) of Schedule 2 – Standard Conditions (North Island Schedule). This would promote consistency with the above approach.
- Summarise any other information provided by the whānau, hapū, or iwi.

SECTION E: Consultation with Poihakena Marae

If required, copy the questions from Section C and complete for additional Treaty Partners consulted with if required (you may prefer to incorporate several Treaty Partner responses into Section D).

- Does this application activate any agreed triggers for consultation with Treaty Partners?

There are no agreed triggers

- Did the whānau, hapū, or iwi engage in consultation on this application? If not, ensure attempts to engage are detailed in Section C.

Yes

- What is the interest of the whānau, hapū, or iwi in the site or activity?

None

- What are their views on the activity (taking place at the specified site)?

Considered main activity to be focussed on deer, which are not present in their area of interest, and so had no concern with this activity.

- What sort of adverse effects do the whānau, hapū, or iwi believe the activity will have on their interests (at the specified site)?

None

- Have the whānau, hapū, or iwi identified any methods to avoid, remedy, or mitigate these effects?

NA

- Summarise any other information provided by the whānau, hapū, or iwi.

NA

SECTION F: Consultation with Waikato Tainui

- Does this application activate any agreed triggers for consultation with Treaty Partners?

There are no agreed triggers

- Did the whānau, hapū, or iwi engage in consultation on this application? If not, ensure attempts to engage are detailed in Section C.

No

SECTION G: Consultation with Maniapoto

- Does this application activate any agreed triggers for consultation with Treaty Partners?

There are no agreed triggers

- Did the whānau, hapū, or iwi engage in consultation on this application? If not, ensure attempts to engage are detailed in Section C.

No

SECTION H: Consultation with Ngati Tama

- Does this application activate any agreed triggers for consultation with Treaty Partners?

There are no agreed triggers

- Did the whānau, hapū, or iwi engage in consultation on this application? If not, ensure attempts to engage are detailed in Section C.

No

SECTION I: Consultation with Ngati Maru

- Does this application activate any agreed triggers for consultation with Treaty Partners?

There are no agreed triggers

- Did the whānau, hapū, or iwi engage in consultation on this application? If not, ensure attempts to engage are detailed in Section C.

No

SECTION J: Consultation with Ngati Haua

- Does this application activate any agreed triggers for consultation with Treaty Partners?

There are no agreed triggers

- Did the whānau, hapū, or iwi engage in consultation on this application? If not, ensure attempts to engage are detailed in Section C.

No

SECTION K: Consultation with Ngati Koroki Kahukura

- Does this application activate any agreed triggers for consultation with Treaty Partners?

There are no agreed triggers

- Did the whānau, hapū, or iwi engage in consultation on this application? If not, ensure attempts to engage are detailed in Section C.

No

SECTION L: Consultation with Ngati Raukawa

- Does this application activate any agreed triggers for consultation with Treaty Partners?

There are no agreed triggers

- Did the whānau, hapū, or iwi engage in consultation on this application? If not, ensure attempts to engage are detailed in Section C.

No

SECTION M: Consultation with Ngati Tamaoho

- Does this application activate any agreed triggers for consultation with Treaty Partners?

There are no agreed triggers

- Did the whānau, hapū, or iwi engage in consultation on this application? If not, ensure attempts to engage are detailed in Section C.

No

SECTION M: Consultation with Taniwha Marae

- Does this application activate any agreed triggers for consultation with Treaty Partners?

There are no agreed triggers

- Did the whānau, hapū, or iwi engage in consultation on this application? If not, ensure attempts to engage are detailed in Section C.

No

SECTION M: Consultation with Nga Tai O Kawhia Regional Management Committee

- Does this application activate any agreed triggers for consultation with Treaty Partners?

There are no agreed triggers

- Did the whānau, hapū, or iwi engage in consultation on this application? If not, ensure attempts to engage are detailed in Section C.

No

SECTION M: Consultation with Nga Muka Trust

- Does this application activate any agreed triggers for consultation with Treaty Partners?

There are no agreed triggers

- Did the whānau, hapū, or iwi engage in consultation on this application? If not, ensure attempts to engage are detailed in Section C.

No

SECTION M: Consultation with Maketu Marae

- Does this application activate any agreed triggers for consultation with Treaty Partners?

There are no agreed triggers

- Did the whānau, hapū, or iwi engage in consultation on this application? If not, ensure attempts to engage are detailed in Section C.

No

SECTION M: Consultation with **Huakina Development Trust**

- Does this application activate any agreed triggers for consultation with Treaty Partners?

There are no agreed triggers

- Did the whānau, hapū, or iwi engage in consultation on this application? If not, ensure attempts to engage are detailed in Section C.

No

SECTION M: Consultation with **Ooraeroa**

- Does this application activate any agreed triggers for consultation with Treaty Partners?

There are no agreed triggers

- Did the whānau, hapū, or iwi engage in consultation on this application? If not, ensure attempts to engage are detailed in Section C.

No

SECTION M: Consultation with **Ngati Karewa**

- Does this application activate any agreed triggers for consultation with Treaty Partners?

There are no agreed triggers

- Did the whānau, hapū, or iwi engage in consultation on this application? If not, ensure attempts to engage are detailed in Section C.

No

SECTION M: Consultation with **Ngati Tahinga**

- Does this application activate any agreed triggers for consultation with Treaty Partners?

There are no agreed triggers

- Did the whānau, hapū, or iwi engage in consultation on this application? If not, ensure attempts to engage are detailed in Section C.

No

SECTION M: Consultation with **Nga iwi Toopo o Waipa**

- Does this application activate any agreed triggers for consultation with Treaty Partners?

There are no agreed triggers

- Did the whānau, hapū, or iwi engage in consultation on this application? If not, ensure attempts to engage are detailed in Section C.

No

2. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN WITH CONSERVATION BOARD & RESPONSE RECEIVED

Briefing papers were put to the Waikato Conservation Board and Taranaki-Whanganui Conservation Board on 22/05/2018 and 31/05/2018 respectively.

No issues were raised, or feedback received from either conservation board. A whale stranding at the time of the Taranaki-Whanganui Conservation Board meeting may have distracted attention from the briefing.

3. DRAFT LAND ASSESSMENT - CONSULTATION WITH STAKEHOLDERS & WARO INDUSTRY

Engagement undertaken	Communication with recreational hunters and the WARO industry is recorded in the HWT communication plan (DOC-5486520).
Summary of feedback	<p>Submissions from recreational hunters ranged from a request for a total ban on WARO activity to limits to areas, times and types of animals that could be hunted. Most submissions were not specific to an area, but Pureora Forest Park did get frequent mention.</p> <p>WARO activity is not permitted in the RHA (18,000ha of Pureora North Block). Submissions sought:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Extend closure to the whole park, and/or• Extension of closure times during the roar and Christmas, and/or• Closure except during winter, and/or• Only taking hinds. <p>Many submitters stated WARO detracts from the hunting experience, is ineffective at deer control, is too effective (competition for deer), that recreational hunters are achieving effective control of deer (and other wild animals) and WRO is not required, and that WARO puts the public at risk.</p> <p>The response from King Country Office was:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• It is considered that the balance of land open for WARO vs that with restricted or not-permitted recommendations is a fair reflection of concerns taken into account, also given the RHA is closed to WARO in addition to the restrictions across the rest of Pureora Forest Park.• Regarding the question of safety, it is worth noting the WARO regional land assessment guidelines which state “unless an area has a consistently high level of public use it does not qualify as a reason to close an area for long periods”, and “the high use public area issue may only be relevant at specific times of the year”. It uses the Milford Track as an example, where the track area is closed for the walking season and open outside of that.• The technical team, a resource for the national permissions advisors, have peer reviewed the reasoning behind the draft recommendations made by the Maniapoto district and concur with the findings for all of the Maniapoto lands.
What changes (if any) did this result in	None
Links to relevant documents (e.g. consultation records,	Refer to HWT communication plan.

submission record/summary)	
----------------------------	--

Engagement undertaken	Communication with the WARO industry is recorded in the HWT communication plan (DOC-5486520).
Summary of feedback	No submission or feedback was received from the WARO industry to the communication sent from the HWT Region. The feedback received by DOC was sent direct to [REDACTED] (or her manager). Feedback from individual WARO operators was also provided at meetings held in the central and southern North Island.
What changes (if any) did this result in	Recommend changing classification for Te Kauri Park Scenic Reserve from not permitted to permitted.
Links to relevant documents (e.g. consultation records, submission record/summary)	NA